

# How Many People In Every State and Congressional District Could Have Medicaid or SNAP Instead of \$200 Billion for War on Iran?

Reports indicate that the Pentagon will soon request \$200 billion for its unjust, unpopular, and illegal war on Iran.

Even one dollar of additional funding for this war is too much. Last year's H.R. 1 cut Medicaid and SNAP and at the same time added [\\$156 billion](#) for the Pentagon and war, bringing the Pentagon budget to more than \$1 trillion for the first time since World War II.

Now, nearly [half of Americans](#) are struggling to afford basic necessities, and the U.S. attack on Iran is pushing [gas prices higher](#). Because of H.R. 1 and other policies, more than [14 million people](#) are at risk of losing health insurance, and [4 million people](#) risk losing food assistance. New and cumbersome paperwork means that even many people eligible under the new rules are likely to [lose food assistance](#).

Instead of prolonging this war - or enabling the next one - with more funds, Americans deserve a government that supports them when times are tough.

Instead of spending \$200 billion to continue the war on Iran, the United States could:

- Cover **Medicaid for all 14 million people** at risk of losing insurance,
- And cover **SNAP for all of the 4 million people** at risk of losing food assistance, including 3.5 million due to new work requirements for older people and caregivers,
- And **expand Medicaid** to an additional 10.3 million people.

The cost of covering Medicaid and food assistance for those at-risk people totals \$118 billion.<sup>1</sup> That leaves \$82 billion, enough to expand Medicaid by 10.3 million people - or to fund any other policy that would actually help people in need.

The table shows how each state's taxpayer contribution for \$200 billion could cover that state's population at risk of losing insurance, and food assistance for the vast majority of those at risk. In 43 states and the District of Columbia, taxpayers' share of \$200 billion is more than enough to cover everyone at risk - with enough leftover to cover those at risk in every single state, and still expand programs to help people in need.

**A table for [congressional districts can be found here](#).**

---

<sup>1</sup> Of the four million people at risk of losing food assistance, the table shows the state breakdown for the 3.5 million at risk due to new work requirements. \$118 billion includes the cost to restore SNAP for all four million.

### People Who Could Receive Medicaid or SNAP for State Taxpayer Share of \$200 Billion

State	Taxpayer Share of \$200 Billion	People Who Could Receive Medicaid Instead	Increase in Uninsured by 2034 due to H.R. 1, ACA Lapse, and other policies	People Who Could Receive SNAP Instead	People At Risk of Losing SNAP Under New Work Requirements for Older People & Caregivers	Percent of at-risk for Medicaid <u>AND</u> SNAP who could be covered by state's share of \$200 billion
Alabama	\$1,750,000,000	366,000	150,000	757,000	61,000	100% or more
Alaska	\$314,000,000	34,000	29,000	85,000	5,000	100% or more
Arizona	\$3,387,000,000	425,000	420,000	1,548,000	73,000	97%
Arkansas	\$974,000,000	164,000	140,000	448,000	25,000	100% or more
California	\$31,219,000,000	4,006,000	1,700,000	13,533,000	368,000	100% or more
Colorado	\$4,049,000,000	614,000	190,000	1,811,000	55,000	100% or more
Connecticut	\$3,690,000,000	418,000	150,000	1,613,000	34,000	100% or more
Delaware	\$601,000,000	55,000	46,000	277,000	13,000	100% or more
District of Columbia	\$768,000,000	62,000	32,000	330,000	14,000	100% or more
Florida	\$14,067,000,000	2,843,000	1,500,000	6,376,000	253,000	100% or more
Georgia	\$5,043,000,000	1,006,000	500,000	2,236,000	154,000	100% or more
Hawaii	\$658,000,000	109,000	42,000	148,000	13,000	100% or more
Idaho	\$709,000,000	97,000	50,000	329,000	8,000	100% or more
Illinois	\$8,498,000,000	1,293,000	520,000	3,671,000	205,000	100% or more
Indiana	\$2,781,000,000	275,000	290,000	1,180,000	54,000	91%
Iowa	\$1,342,000,000	176,000	110,000	656,000	23,000	100% or more
Kansas	\$1,392,000,000	146,000	63,000	636,000	15,000	100% or more
Kentucky	\$1,537,000,000	165,000	220,000	793,000	50,000	72%
Louisiana	\$1,732,000,000	233,000	330,000	769,000	68,000	66%
Maine	\$587,000,000	64,000	33,000	277,000	10,000	100% or more
Maryland	\$4,366,000,000	463,000	210,000	2,015,000	57,000	100% or more
Massachusetts	\$6,944,000,000	646,000	210,000	2,947,000	103,000	100% or more
Michigan	\$4,794,000,000	813,000	390,000	2,303,000	123,000	100% or more
Minnesota	\$3,561,000,000	295,000	180,000	1,883,000	32,000	100% or more
Mississippi	\$774,000,000	85,000	110,000	353,000	33,000	72%

Totals may not add due to rounding. Sources: Author's calculations based on [IRS tax data](#), Kaiser Family Foundation [Medicaid cost](#) and [at-risk estimates](#), USDA cost estimates for SNAP, and [CBPP at-risk estimates](#) for SNAP.

### People Who Could Receive Medicaid or SNAP for State Taxpayer Share of \$200 Billion (con't)

State	Taxpayer Share of \$200 Billion	People Who Could Receive Medicaid Instead	Increase in Uninsured by 2034 due to H.R. 1, ACA Lapse, and other policies	People Who Could Receive SNAP Instead	People At Risk of Losing SNAP Under New Work Requirements for Older People & Caregivers	Percent of at-risk for Medicaid <b>AND</b> SNAP who could be covered by state's share of \$200 billion
Missouri	\$2,670,000,000	285,000	230,000	1,155,000	58,000	100% or more
Montana	\$502,000,000	75,000	50,000	241,000	7,000	100% or more
Nebraska	\$916,000,000	94,000	54,000	427,000	9,000	100% or more
Nevada	\$1,648,000,000	326,000	110,000	826,000	46,000	100% or more
New Hampshire	\$981,000,000	117,000	32,000	488,000	4,000	100% or more
New Jersey	\$8,150,000,000	872,000	390,000	3,492,000	75,000	100% or more
New Mexico	\$725,000,000	91,000	98,000	318,000	55,000	80%
New York	\$17,060,000,000	1,733,000	860,000	6,771,000	317,000	100% or more
North Carolina	\$4,821,000,000	653,000	450,000	2,320,000	142,000	100% or more
North Dakota	\$415,000,000	37,000	26,000	181,000	3,000	100% or more
Ohio	\$5,468,000,000	693,000	460,000	2,380,000	98,000	100% or more
Oklahoma	\$1,425,000,000	260,000	180,000	648,000	58,000	100% or more
Oregon	\$2,187,000,000	289,000	210,000	1,035,000	62,000	100% or more
Pennsylvania	\$7,518,000,000	670,000	450,000	3,514,000	143,000	100% or more
Rhode Island	\$645,000,000	73,000	42,000	271,000	10,000	100% or more
South Carolina	\$2,071,000,000	395,000	190,000	929,000	49,000	100% or more
South Dakota	\$395,000,000	53,000	20,000	164,000	5,000	100% or more
Tennessee	\$3,106,000,000	486,000	210,000	1,357,000	52,000	100% or more
Texas	\$16,179,000,000	2,224,000	1,400,000	7,146,000	275,000	100% or more
Utah	\$1,462,000,000	191,000	150,000	645,000	12,000	100% or more
Vermont	\$356,000,000	41,000	18,000	159,000	6,000	100% or more
Virginia	\$5,691,000,000	557,000	350,000	2,661,000	78,000	100% or more
Washington	\$6,177,000,000	850,000	430,000	2,850,000	57,000	100% or more
West Virginia	\$534,000,000	69,000	75,000	261,000	34,000	82%
Wisconsin	\$2,996,000,000	410,000	110,000	1,546,000	49,000	100% or more
Wyoming	\$338,000,000	49,000	9,700	173,000	2,000	100% or more
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$199,973,000,000</b>	<b>26,446,000</b>	<b>14,219,700</b>	<b>88,932,000</b>	<b>3,555,000</b>	<b>100% or more</b>

Totals may not add due to rounding. Sources: Author's calculations based on [IRS tax data](#), Kaiser Family Foundation [Medicaid cost](#) and [at-risk estimates](#), USDA cost estimates for SNAP, and [CBPP at-risk estimates](#) for SNAP.