Federal Money Keeps Iowa, New Hampshire Afloat

*National Priorities Project examines federal money in state budgets, and direct federal support to individuals.*

By Samantha Dana and Mattea Kramer

Federal programs funnel thousands of dollars to the average American, though few people realize the extent to which government assistance benefits them. A Cornell University poll asked 1,400 Americans if they had “ever used a government program,” and 57 percent said no. The same respondents then were asked if they had used any of 21 different programs—everything from unemployment benefits to the home-mortgage interest deduction—and 94 percent said they had used at least one.

This month the first voters head to the polls to decide who will be the Republican nominee for president. The presidential election is about voters’ vision for how the federal government should serve the American people, so National Priorities Project took a look at the extent to which residents of the first primary states, Iowa and New Hampshire, receive federal support—even if they may be unaware of it. Federal money comprises a sizable chunk of Iowa and New Hampshire state budgets. In addition, individuals in both of those states—like Americans across the country—receive thousands of dollars of direct federal assistance. (See federal assistance to individuals on the state and county level here.)

**New Hampshire Residents Received $4,850 from Federal Programs**

On average, every New Hampshire resident received $4,850 in direct assistance from federal programs in 2010—that’s everything from the Medicare prescription drug benefit to Pell grants.

According to a 2011 Census Bureau report, federal money also accounted for 30 cents of every dollar of New Hampshire state revenue in fiscal 2009, the most recent year for which comprehensive data are available. Federal money helps states pay for building roads and fixing bridges, among numerous other kinds of projects. While federal spending in 2009 and 2010 was especially large due to stimulus funds and expanding need-based programs, federal spending every year comprises a substantial portion of states’ total revenues.
Throughout the recession and the slow recovery, states have made deep cuts to programs and shed thousands of jobs in an effort to close budget gaps. In fiscal 2009, New Hampshire used unsustainable sources of cash to pay its bills, including spending down 90 percent of its accumulated rainy day fund. Still, the state ran a $250 million deficit that year\textsuperscript{iv}—a figure that would have been far greater without expanded federal assistance. The state’s annual deficit has grown since then—it was $365 million in fiscal 2011\textsuperscript{iv}—but is lower than most other states. New Hampshire residents have fared relatively well through the recession, with a statewide unemployment rate below six percent.

**Federal Money a Third of Iowa State Revenue**

Iowa received more federal money than New Hampshire in 2009. Thirty-three percent ($5.4 billion) of state revenue came from federal sources in that year,\textsuperscript{iii} while Iowa lawmakers made a 1.5 percent across-the-board cut to state programs to control the state’s ballooning deficit. Despite these cuts and support from the federal government, the state’s deficit in fiscal 2009 amounted to $484 million.\textsuperscript{iv} In subsequent years, that figure grew considerably, surpassing $1 billion in fiscal 2010 and 2011.\textsuperscript{iv}

As a recent *New York Times* article pointed out, Iowa residents have not been as hard hit by the recession as residents of most other states.

Unemployment there is six percent. Still, in addition to the billions of federal dollars that helped finance the state’s government, Iowa residents received substantial direct federal assistance. On average in 2010, residents of the state each received $5,400 from all federal programs.\textsuperscript{ii} Iowans paid on average $5,175 in federal taxes that year\textsuperscript{v}—that includes income taxes as well asexcise and other kinds of taxes, but excludes corporate incomes taxes. (See gross federal tax collections by state [here](#).) On balance, that means Iowans collected more federal benefits than they paid in federal taxes.
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